

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –61)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,057 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio
By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-61 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
INDIANA SOUTHERN			
INS	1	18-03174	CITY OF FRANKLIN et al v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al
INS	4	18-00187	LAWRENCE COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al
LOUISIANA EASTERN			
LAE	2	18-09357	Smith v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 10/24/18
LAE	2	18-09383	Orleans Parish Hospital Service District A v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
LOUISIANA MIDDLE			
LAM	3	18-00890	Union Parish v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
MASSACHUSETTS			
MA	1	18-12140	Town of Middleborough v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MICHIGAN WESTERN			
MIW	1	18-01155	Muskegon, County of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN			
NCW	5	18-00168	Ashe County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
OKLAHOMA WESTERN			
OKW	5	18-00994	Pioneer Telephone Cooperative Inc Employee Benefits Plan et al v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
UTAH			
UT	2	18-00784	Utah County v. Purdue Pharma Inc et al